

Case # 18-1313

Case # 18-cr-30031 MJE

Evelyn Johnson - Petitioner

Demand For Release

12/26/18

For Lack of Jurisdiction and
Wrongful Conviction

This is my defense; I Evelyn Johnson has done nothing wrong and my accusers cannot prove to you the charges they are making against the defendant. I was never stirring up a crowd or anything arguing with anyone as my accusers can ~~at~~ easily attest to - on a number of occasions - one of which was recorded.

I Evelyn Johnson has been detained (arrested) by force for over 10 months plus imprisoned pursuant to (18 USC 3583(e)(4) --- electronic signaling device) - for over 10 months without due process of law - violation my Constitutional Rights under Article V and VI - Effective assistance of Counsel (Rule 44(a)) unless the defendant waives this right; - 18 USC 3006A(b) --- unless the person waives representation by counsel; and 28 USC Former 394 (now 1654) in all courts of the United States the parties may plead and manage their own causes personally - - Defendant upon request shall be assigned Counsel; Johnson v. Zerbst, 58 S.Ct 1919 304 U.S. 458, 82, L.Ed. 1461)

Because I believe everything that agrees with the law and that is written in the Bible - I believe the Government is committing fraud under 18 USC 1001.

Now the defendant is a legal CITIZEN OF the ~~the~~ United States being an artificial person - and so I stand on my Constitutional

Rights as a ~~C~~ CITIZEN to carry on my private business

According to CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS all tax crimes are commercial crimes SEE 2011(6) Criminal Offense does not mention a Federal Crime as it should. U.S.C 15, pursuant to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure; Title 26 USC Subtitle E, Ch. 53 - Machine Guns, deals with the filing of ~~a~~ fraudulent Federal tax returns - 26 USC 7206(2) willful aiding -- but to the extent under 18 USC 3237 - Violations of 7206(2) by mailing any returns to the Internal Revenue Service. Under title 26 USC Ch 66 - Says offense must be prosecuted within 3 years next ~~after~~ after commission. Defendant accused of violations under 26 USC 7206(2) government officer had to have known of the ~~limit~~ limits to prosecution - of tax years 2011 and 2012 expired april of 2015.

Evelyn Johnson is not a United States person (natural) pursuant to 34 USC 20144(8) - U.S. person - is a natural person. Internal Interstate Agreement on Detainers; Art II - "State" is a state of the United States, State of United States of America, territory or possession of the United States.

Because every government is an artificial person - ~~Created~~ Created in the mind only and can only ~~be~~ communicate with other artificial persons. Having no ~~legal~~ substance the governments legal manifestation of this is that no government and any law, court, agency ~~etc~~ can communicate with

anything other than Corporate, artificial persons, and
the contracts between them. *Fenhallow v. Doane's*
Administrators (3 U.S. 54; 14 E.D. 57; 3 Dall. 54) S.C.R. 1795

So by law this case is moot and must be vacated
and the defendant's person ordered released because
the original imprisonment was unlawful because of
omission of these facts.

Evelyn Johnson is not the defendant accused but
in fact punished for crimes that neither she
nor the ~~defendant~~ accused committed, as evidenced
by the material evidence seized.

Respectfully Submitted
Evelyn Johnson
Defendant's Person
All Right Reserved

December 20, 2012


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have ~~several~~ served a true and correct copy of the following:

DEMAND FOR ~~RELEASE~~ for Lack of Jurisdiction & Wrongful Conviction, upon the following address, by placing same in a sealed envelope bearing sufficient postage for the delivery via United States Mail Service to: CLERK

U. S. District Court
750 Missouri Ave
East St Louis IL 62201

For proper distribution to all parties of concern, which was hand delivered to Clinton County Jail, officers employees at 810 Franklin Street, Carlyle, IL on this day 26 of Dec, 2018


Litigation is deemed filed at the time it was delivered to Jail officers. SEE *Houston v Lack*, 101 L. Ed 2d 245 (1988)